#### Neutrino astronomy and telescopes





Crab nebula



Cen A

## **Overview**

- → Neutrinos and their properties (done)
- Neutrino astronomy and connections to Cosmic rays and gamma-astronomy
- Neutrino sources and neutrino production
  - SN collapse and nutrino burst
- → Neutrino telescopes and detection technique
  - Search Methods
    - Current experimental scenario

Teresa Montaruli, Apr. 2006

# Suggested references

- Halzen and Hooper, Rept.Prog.Phys.65:1025-1078,2002
- Learned and Mannheim,
  - Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci.50:679-749,2000
- Burgio, Bednarek, TM, New Astron. Rev. 49, 2005 (galactic point sources)
- http://arxiv.org/PS\_cache/astro-ph/pdf/0405/0405503.pdf (GRBs)
- Books: Longair, High Energy Astrophysics Berezinski, Neutrino Astrophysics 1995
- For all neutrino related information always look in http://www.nu.to.infn.it/
- These transparencies:

http://www.icecube.wisc.edu/~tmontaruli/801.html

#### The idea

vs are weekly interacting  $\Rightarrow$  require large target mass and conversion into charged particle <u>Markov/ Greisen idea (1960)</u> Target is surrounding matter  $M = \rho R_{\mu} S (E_{\mu} = 1 \text{ TeV} : R_{\mu} = 2.5 \text{ km})$ Events are upgoing



M.Markov (1960): idea to construct large deep underwater Cherenkov detectors for neutrino astrophysics using water masses of natural basins. Era of underwater neutrino telescopes started



#### Water/ice used as:

shield to protect of atmospheric muons
 target in which neutrino interaction occurs
 detecting medium where the Cherenkov light is emitted

Upgoing muons: much larger interaction volume than what is in the instrumented region





Teresa Montaruli, Apr. 2006

#### Cherenkov Photons

Muon neutrinos are the only topology to allow source pointing But since vs oscillate other topologies should be considered that allow to observe upper sky

43°

# **Energy losses**

Ionization and atomic excitation: interactions with electrons in the media continuous process mip: particles at the minimum of ionization 2 MeV/g/cm<sup>2</sup>

Teresa Montaruli.

Radiative: discrete process and stochastic Bremmsstrahlung: radiation emitted by ar accelerated or decelerated particle throug the field of an atomic nuclei Energy emitted  $\propto 1/m^2$ Pair production:  $\mu$ +N  $\rightarrow$  e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Photonuclear : inelastic interaction of muons with nuclei, produces hadronic showers





## Muons and Taus

Bremsstrahlung  $\propto 1/m^2 <<$  important than photonuclear for taus



# The target mass

-dE/dx = a(E) + b(E)E

 $R_{max}(E_I, E_I^{min}) = \int dX P_{surv}(E_I, E_I^{min}, X)$ 

IonizationStochastic losses~2 MeV/g/cm² (dominate > 1TeV )

$$R_{\mu} = \int_{0}^{E} \frac{dx}{dE} dE \approx \int_{0}^{E} \frac{1}{a+bE} dE = \frac{1}{b} \log(1+E/E_{c})$$

 $E_c = a/b$ 





Teresa Montaruli, Apr. 2006

## Neutrino interactions on nucleons



# The DIS total cross section

For antineutrinos  $q \leftrightarrow q$  and above  $10^5$  GeV cross sections are equal since the interactions on sea quarks dominate over valence ones



## **Quark contribution**





#### The parameter space cut on invariant mass of hadronic HERA x ~10<sup>-5</sup> system $W^2 = Q^2/X 2 - 10^8 \text{ GeV}^2$ Antares simulation 'x vs Q<sup>2</sup>' log,₀[x] Double Asymptotic Scaling =structure function depend - " only on a variable $\sigma(x,Q^2)$ -Large Q<sup>2</sup> small x: $\sigma \propto \ln(1/x)^* \ln Q^2$ -2 Calculations not possible for non perturbative region -3 $\exists low x and small Q2$ -4 DIS $\exists Q^2 = 2MxyE_v$ -5 O.E. $\exists x = Q^2/(2Mv)$ Other channels $y = (E_v - E_1)/E_v =$ -6 $v/E_{v}$ Т -2 5 $\log_{10}[Q^2 (GeV^2)]$ $\dot{\text{CTEQ6-D}}$ : 10<sup>-6</sup> < x < 1 1.3 < Q < 10<sup>4</sup> GeV

#### Neutrino interactions on electrons

#### Glashow resonance 6.3 PeV



#### Neutrino absorption in the Earth



# **NC** interactions $v_{\mu} + N \rightarrow v_{\mu} + X$





 $\sigma_{\rm CC}$  ~ 3  $\sigma_{\rm NC}$ Similarly to  $v_e$  and  $v_\tau$  CC, NCs for all flavors produce showers.

$$P^{0}(x,Q^{2}) = \left[\frac{u_{v}(x,Q^{2}) + d_{v}(x,Q^{2})}{2} + \frac{u_{s}(x,Q^{2}) + d_{s}(x,Q^{2})}{2}\right] (L_{u}^{2} + L_{d}^{2}) + \left[\frac{u_{s}(x,Q^{2}) + d_{s}(x,Q^{2})}{2}\right] (R_{u}^{2} + R_{d}^{2}) + (11) [s_{s}(x,Q^{2}) + b_{s}(x,Q^{2})] (L_{d}^{2} + R_{d}^{2}) + [c_{s}(x,Q^{2}) + t_{s}(x,Q^{2})] (L_{u}^{2} + R_{u}^{2})$$

$$\overline{q}^{0}(x,Q^{2}) = \left[\frac{u_{v}(x,Q^{2}) + d_{v}(x,Q^{2})}{2} + \frac{u_{s}(x,Q^{2}) + d_{s}(x,Q^{2})}{2}\right] (R_{u}^{2} + R_{d}^{2}) \\ + \left[\frac{u_{s}(x,Q^{2}) + d_{s}(x,Q^{2})}{2}\right] (L_{u}^{2} + L_{d}^{2}) +$$
(12)
$$\left[s_{u}(x,Q^{2}) + b_{u}(x,Q^{2})\right] (L_{u}^{2} + R_{d}^{2}) + \left[s_{u}(x,Q^{2}) + b_{u}(x,Q^{2})\right] (L_{u}^{2} + R_{d}^{2})$$

 $+ R_d + [c_s(x, Q^-) + t_s(x, Q^-)](L_n)$ 

$$L_u = 1 - \frac{4}{3}x_W \qquad L_d = -1 + \frac{2}{3}x_W R_u = -\frac{4}{3}x_W \qquad R_d = \frac{2}{3}x_W$$

 $\frac{d^2\sigma}{dxdy} = \frac{G_F^2 M E_\nu}{2\pi} \left(\frac{M_Z^2}{Q^2 + M_Z^2}\right)^2 \left[xq^0(x,Q^2) + x\overline{q}^0(x,Q^2)(1-y)^2\right],$ 

# **NC interactions** $v_{\mu} + N \rightarrow v_{\mu} + X$



Most of neutrino telescopes cannot distinguish if they are hadronic (~20% more light) or em

